

# Legal Framework for the Protection of Ukrainian Refugees: a Comparative Study

**Illia I. Malanchuk\***

Yaroslav Mudryi National Law University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

\*e-mail: i.i.malanchuk@nlu.edu.ua

## **Abstract**

*The ongoing conflict in Ukraine has forced many Ukrainians to flee their homes, making the protection of Ukrainian refugees a critical issue. This article aims to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the existing legislative framework to identify areas where reforms are necessary to ensure better protection for this vulnerable group. The article's relevance lies in the urgent need to provide protection to Ukrainian refugees, who face multiple challenges, including discrimination, lack of access to necessities, and the risk of exploitation. The primary objective of the research is to evaluate the effectiveness of the current legal framework for protecting refugees and identify areas that require improvement. To achieve this objective, the study employs a multidimensional approach that combines legal analysis and a review of relevant literature. The research draws on legal instruments and frameworks such as the 1951 Convention on the Status of Refugees and the Directive on Temporary Protection. The study also analyses the challenges that Ukrainian refugees face in accessing their rights, including the lack of effective implementation of existing legal frameworks. The results of the study indicate that the current legislative framework for refugee protection in Ukraine requires significant reforms to better protect Ukrainian refugees. The research identifies several areas that require improvement, such as access to legal assistance, education, and healthcare. The study's conclusions and recommendations aim to improve the existing system and ensure better protection for Ukrainian refugees. These recommendations include strengthening the legal framework for refugee protection, improving access to essential services, and ensuring effective implementation of existing laws and regulations. Overall, this research contributes to the existing literature by providing insights into the legal framework for refugee protection in Ukraine, particularly for Ukrainian refugees. It also highlights the importance of providing effective protection to refugees and the need for continuous reforms to ensure that the legal framework is adapted to the evolving needs of refugees.*

**Keywords:** refugees; protection; armed conflict; international law.

# Правові рамки захисту українських біженців: порівняльне дослідження

**Ілля Ігорьович Маланчук\***

Національний юридичний університет імені Ярослава Мудрого,  
Харків, Україна

\*e-mail: i.i.malanchuk@nlu.edu.ua

## **Анотація**

*Статтю присвячено проблемі захисту українських біженців, які були змушені втекти за кордон через війну в Україні. Метою дослідження є детальний аналіз законодавчої бази та визначення конкретних сфер, які потребують удосконалення для забезпечення кращого захисту цієї вразливої групи населення. Актуальність статті полягає в загальній потребі забезпечити захист українських біженців, які стикаються з численними викликами, включно з дискримінацією, відсутністю доступу до потреб та ризиком експлуатації. Основною метою є оцінка ефективності чинної законодавчої бази щодо захисту біженців і визначення сфер, що потребують удосконалення. Для досягнення цієї мети в дослідженні застосовано багатовимірний підхід, що поєднує правовий аналіз та огляд відповідної літератури, проведено комплексний аналіз наявних правових інструментів і рамок, що застосовують до біженців, включаючи Конвенцію 1951 року про статус біженців і Директиву про тимчасовий захист. Проаналізовано виклики, з якими стикаються українські біженці у доступі до своїх прав, зокрема з відсутністю ефективною реалізацією наявної правової бази. Результати дослідження вказують на те, що чинна законодавча база щодо захисту біженців з України потребує значних реформ. Визначено кілька сфер, які має бути вдосконалено, а саме: доступ до правової допомоги, освіти та охорони здоров'я. На підставі проведеного дослідження сформульовано висновки та надано рекомендації щодо вдосконалення законодавчої бази та забезпечення кращого захисту українських біженців. Зокрема, до таких рекомендацій входить удосконалення правових інструментів, що регулюють процедуру надання статусу біженця та захист біженців.*

**Ключові слова:** біженці; захист; збройний конфлікт; міжнародне право.

## **Introduction**

As the war has continued, the number of Ukrainian refugees has grown exponentially. The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) estimates that over 7.7 million Ukrainians have been forced to flee abroad as of October 2022, with the majority seeking refuge in European countries [1]. This massive displacement has created a significant challenge for both Ukraine and the international community.

The situation is made more complex by the fact that many of the Ukrainian refugees are not recognized as refugees under international law. This is because

the legal definition of a refugee requires that a person has a well-founded fear of persecution due to their race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group. While many of the Ukrainian refugees are fleeing violence and conflict, they may not meet this strict legal definition. Furthermore, even if Ukrainian refugees do qualify for protection under international law, the process of seeking asylum can be difficult and time-consuming. Many countries have limited resources to process asylum claims, and the process can be complicated by bureaucratic hurdles, language barriers, and cultural differences.

The situation is further complicated by the fact that many Ukrainian refugees are not able to return to their homes even if the conflict were to end tomorrow. This is because the conflict has caused significant damage to infrastructure and homes, and many areas remain unsafe due to unexploded ordnance and other dangers

Previous studies have examined the legal framework for the protection of refugees and have identified gaps and challenges in the current system. For example, one of the most significant contributors to the field of refugee law James C. Hathaway [2] establishes consistent criteria for determining refugee status, while Guy S. Goodwin-Gill [3] examines in-depth the rights of refugees under international law and takes analysis of legal definition of a refugee. Additionally [4], Elena Fiddian-Qasmiyeh focuses on the challenges faced by female refugees in accessing asylum procedures. The decent amount of research was conducted specifically about the influx of Palestinian, Syrian, Venezuelan refugees. Many scientists work on Palestinian refugee law such as Lex Takkenberg [5], Kurt Rene Radley [6]; Noura Erakat [7] in her new book conveys analysis on issues that face Palestinian refugees, criticizing the Israel side in many matters. Rossen Koroutchev [8] examines the crisis of Syrian refugees in Europe, Gerasimos Tsourapas [9], Jessy Nassar and Nora Stel [10], Madeline-Sophie Abbas [11] research on responses of Jordan, Turkey, Lebanon, the United Kingdom towards the Syrian refugee crisis. Luise Freier, Isabel Berganza, Cecile Blouin [12] examine the Cartagena refugee definition and problems of Venezuelan displacement, focusing on problem of applying the Cartagena for Venezuelan citizens. Marissa Jackson Sow [13] analyses Ukrainian refugee law from the prospect of discrimination for race particularly to people of African and Asian descent. Bernd Parusel and Valeriia Varfolomieieva [14] take deep research on consequences of visa-free entry and activation of temporary protection for Ukrainian refugees.

The legal framework for the protection of Ukrainian refugees is complex and challenging. The current system is based on international law, which provides a set of guidelines and standards for the protection of refugees. However, there

are several challenges in the implementation of this framework, which need to be addressed in order to improve the protection of Ukrainian refugees.

One of the main challenges in the legal framework is the narrow definition of a refugee. The legal definition of a refugee requires that a person has a well-founded fear of persecution due to their race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group. Many Ukrainian refugees are fleeing violence and conflict but may not meet this strict legal definition. As a result, they may not qualify for protection under international law. Furthermore, the process of seeking asylum can be complicated and time-consuming. Many countries have limited resources to process asylum claims, and the process can be complicated by bureaucratic hurdles, language barriers, and cultural differences. As a result, many Ukrainian refugees may face significant challenges in seeking asylum and may not receive the protection they need.

Another challenge in the legal framework is the lack of coordination between countries. Many Ukrainian refugees are seeking refuge in European countries, but there is no coordinated response among these countries. As a result, refugees may face different levels of protection and may not have access to the same resources and support in different countries.

To address these challenges, there is a need for further research and analysis of the legal framework for the protection of Ukrainian refugees. This study aims to contribute to this ongoing discussion by providing a detailed analysis of the legal framework and identifying specific areas in need of improvement.

## **Materials and Methods**

This article aims to investigate the legal framework for the protection of refugees, with a particular focus on the situation of Ukrainian refugees. The methodology used in this study will involve a comprehensive review of relevant literature and previous studies on the legal framework for the protection of refugees.

To achieve the objectives of the study, a systematic review of relevant literature will be conducted to conduct a comparative analysis of the international legal frameworks applicable to Ukrainian, Palestinian, and Syrian refugees. This will involve an analysis of the rights and protections afforded to refugees under international law, as well as an examination of the specific challenges and gaps that exist in the current legal framework.

The study will also evaluate the extent to which the rights and protections afforded to Ukrainian refugees align with those of Palestinian and Syrian refugees. This will involve a detailed analysis of the legal protections and rights that are afforded to refugees under international law, as well as an

examination of the specific challenges and gaps that exist in the current legal framework.

To identify any inconsistencies or discrepancies in the international legal protection of Ukrainian refugees in comparison to other groups, the study will conduct a detailed analysis of the legal protections and rights that are afforded to refugees under international law, as well as an examination of the specific challenges and gaps that exist in the current legal framework.

The study will also include a critical assessment of the implementation of relevant legal instruments and recommendations for addressing any shortcomings. This will involve a detailed analysis of the legal protections and rights that are afforded to refugees under international law, as well as an examination of the specific challenges and gaps that exist in the current legal framework.

The research methods used in this study will mainly be qualitative with the use of secondary data analysis and literature reviews, as well as a critical examination of the implementation of relevant legal instruments and recommendations for addressing any shortcomings.

The 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and the Temporary Protection Directive are two of the most important legal instruments and frameworks that are applicable to refugees. These instruments set out the rights and protections that should be afforded to refugees and establish the obligations of states towards refugees.

Ukrainian refugees face unique challenges in comparison to Palestinian and Syrian refugees. The conflict in Ukraine has displaced over 1.5 million people, many of whom have fled to neighbouring countries such as Russia, Belarus, and Poland. Ukrainian refugees face challenges in accessing basic services, including healthcare, education, and employment.

The study will also identify any gaps or shortcomings in the legal framework for the protection of refugees. For example, the legal framework does not provide adequate protection for refugees who are stateless or who have been forcibly displaced due to climate change. This study will provide a comprehensive analysis of the legal framework for the protection of refugees, with a particular focus on the situation of Ukrainian refugees. The study will evaluate the extent to which the rights and protections afforded to Ukrainian refugees align with those of Palestinian and Syrian refugees and identify any inconsistencies or discrepancies in the international legal protection of Ukrainian refugees. The study will also provide recommendations for addressing any shortcomings in the legal framework for the protection of refugees.

## **Results and Discussion**

International legal instruments, such as the 1951 Convention Relating [15] to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol [16], provide the foundation for the protection of refugees worldwide. These instruments establish the obligations of states towards refugees and set out the rights and protections that should be afforded to refugees. However, the implementation of these instruments can vary greatly among different countries and regions.

In the case of Palestinian refugees, they have a distinct legal framework under the mandate of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East (UNRWA), which was established in 1949. UNRWA provides essential services such as healthcare, education, and social services to over five million registered Palestinian refugees in the Middle East.

However, UNRWA faces a significant funding crisis, which has resulted in a shortage of resources and services for Palestinian refugees. Palestinian refugees also face many challenges, such as lack of access to basic services, limited freedom of movement, and restricted access to livelihood opportunities. These challenges have been exacerbated by the ongoing conflict in the region, which has resulted in the displacement of many Palestinians.

In contrast, Syrian refugees have been provided with significant international support and protection in recent years. The international community has provided significant financial and humanitarian aid to support Syrian refugees, and many countries have opened their borders to provide refuge to Syrians fleeing conflict.

However, the situation for Ukrainian refugees is different. The conflict in Ukraine has displaced over 7.7 million people, many of whom have fled to neighbouring countries such as Hungary, Romania, and Poland. The legal framework for the protection of refugees is complex and varies greatly among different countries and regions. While international legal instruments provide a foundation for the protection of refugees, their implementation can vary greatly among different countries and regions. The situation of Palestinian refugees, Syrian refugees, and Ukrainian refugees highlights the importance of international cooperation and support in providing protection and assistance to refugees.

The legal framework for the protection of refugees is complex and multifaceted. While international legal instruments provide a foundation for the protection of refugees, their implementation can vary greatly among different countries and regions. The situation of Palestinian refugees, Syrian refugees, and Ukrainian refugees highlights the importance of international cooperation and support

in providing protection and assistance to refugees. It is essential that the international community continues to work together to ensure that refugees are provided with the protection and assistance they need.

### ***The Palestinian and Syrian refugee law analysis***

The situation for Syrian refugees is particularly dire. Since the start of the Syrian civil war in 2011, more than 5.6 million Syrians have fled the country, with many seeking refuge in neighbouring countries. While the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol are technically applicable to Syrian refugees, the ongoing conflict and resulting fragmentation of the Syrian state has made the protection of Syrian refugees a complex issue.

The conflict in Syria has led to the displacement of millions of people within the country and across its borders. Syrian refugees who have fled to neighbouring countries, such as Lebanon, Jordan, and Turkey, often lack legal status and face difficulties accessing basic services and protection. They are often forced to rely on the hospitality of host communities, many of whom are also struggling to make ends meet. This has led to many Syrian refugees facing significant challenges in accessing basic services such as healthcare, education, and shelter.

The international community has responded to the Syrian refugee crisis by providing humanitarian aid and financial assistance to host countries. However, this has not been sufficient to address the complex and multifaceted challenges faced by Syrian refugees. The fragmentation of the Syrian state has made it difficult to determine who is responsible for protecting Syrian refugees and providing them with assistance. In addition, the ongoing conflict in Syria has made it difficult to provide aid and assistance to those who need it most.

The situation for Palestinian refugees is also challenging. Palestinian refugees are not recognized as refugees under international law and are not covered by the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol. Instead, they are covered by UNRWA's mandate, which is limited in scope and resources. This has led to a lack of access to basic services and protection for Palestinian refugees.

Palestinian refugees have been displaced from their homes as a result of the conflict between Israel and Palestine. Many have been living in refugee camps for decades, with limited access to basic services such as healthcare, education, and employment. The situation for Palestinian refugees has been further complicated by the ongoing conflict in the region, which has made it difficult to provide aid and assistance to those who need it most.

The challenges faced by Palestinian and Syrian refugees highlight the limitations of the current legal framework for protecting refugees. While the 1951



Convention and its 1967 Protocol provide the foundation for the protection of refugees worldwide, their implementation can vary greatly among different countries and regions. The specific challenges faced by Palestinian and Syrian refugees demonstrate the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to refugee protection.

In conclusion, the legal framework for protecting refugees is complex and multifaceted. While international legal instruments provide a foundation for the protection of refugees, their implementation can vary greatly among different countries and regions. The situation for Palestinian and Syrian refugees highlights the limitations of the current legal framework for protecting refugees and the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to refugee protection. The international community must work together to address the challenges faced by refugees and to provide them with the protection and assistance they need to rebuild their lives.

### ***The Ukrainian refugee law analysis***

The United Nations General Assembly [17] and the United Nations Human Rights Council [18] have recognized the aggression of Russia against Ukraine and have called for the withdrawal of Russian troops and Russian-backed armed groups from Ukraine. This act of assault has led to the displacement of many people, who may be considered as refugees under the 1951 Convention definition [15]. The decision on refugee status has been left to host states, with many granting different forms of asylum to those seeking it. On 4th March, the Council of the European Union (EU) implemented temporary protection for refugees from Ukraine, which provides accommodation, education, work, and healthcare [19]. However, it is important to note that temporary protection and refugee status are fundamentally different and the process of obtaining temporary protection varies by country.

The opportunity for refugee status under the 1951 Convention remains available, but it is not widely used as the process of obtaining this status is longer and the eligibility criteria are different. The EU recognizes Ukrainians as war refugees who should be granted asylum, but due to the nature of the conflict, applies temporary protection instead. The EU, in partnership with other international organizations, intends to end the conflict so refugees can return safely back home.

The implication of temporary protection by European state has a high significance because it shows a consolidate and proper response to a refugee crisis. This response requires substantial efforts from each state to ensure legal implementation of such protection. Poland's early acceptance of Ukrainian refugees has highlighted the pressing need for urgent legislative measures to



address the situation effectively. On 12<sup>th</sup> March of 2022 Polish Sejm has enacted Act on aid to citizens of Ukraine in connection with the armed conflict on the territory of that country [20]. This Act specifies rules for legal stay of Ukrainian citizens who fled to Poland. This law covers provisions for work permits, legal rights, education, financial support etc. As a highly intricate and exhaustive legal framework, the Act effectively embodies fundamental tenets of refugee protection within the framework of national law. This Act introduced amendments to a number of other legislative instruments, which were found to be incompatible with its provisions. For instance, it modifies the Act of 5 December 1996 on the professions of doctor and dentist due to the given permission for Ukrainian refugees to practise doctor or dentist professions [21]. 23 pieces of legislation are to be amended in accordance with the Act, which highlights both the incompatibility of current refugee law contemporary realities and signalling the effort of the Polish legislative and executive branches to address the ongoing refugee crisis. The current law outlines that individuals who are citizens of Ukraine and are legally residing in Poland under Art. 2, paragraph 1 (or 2) of a special law, are deemed to have temporary protection on the territory of the Republic of Poland. The acquisition of a PESEL code, which can be obtained upon request, serves as proof of identification for Ukrainian citizens and grants them access to essential rights guaranteed by temporary protection. Essentially, the issuance of a PESEL code affords Ukrainian nationals temporary protection status while in Poland. Following the implementation of the Act, a set of amendments were made, including the law passed on January 13, 2023, which specifies that individuals who have sought similar rights in another EU member state, apart from the Republic of Poland, are ineligible to use the rights outlined in the Act [22].

The implementation of temporary protection in Poland has undergone significant changes in recent years, reflecting the country's evolving approach to managing refugee flows. One key development has been the modification of Polish law regarding Ukrainian refugees, which has played an important role in preventing abuse of the temporary protection system by those who are not permanently residing in the country.

Poland's location on the border with Ukraine has made it a crucial hub for refugees fleeing the ongoing conflict in the region. As a result, the Polish government has been forced to grapple with a rapidly changing situation, as waves of displaced persons seek temporary protection within its borders.

In response, the government has implemented a range of measures aimed at streamlining the process of providing temporary protection to those in need.

These measures have included changes to the law governing the status of refugees, as well as the establishment of specialized centres to process applications for protection and provide support to those who have been granted it.

At the same time, the Polish government has also sought to ensure that those who are not genuinely in need of temporary protection are not able to abuse the system. This has included stricter requirements for demonstrating the need for protection, as well as increased monitoring and enforcement efforts to prevent fraudulent claims.

Overall, the changes in implementation of temporary protection in Poland reflect a dynamic and evolving approach to managing the complex challenges posed by refugee flows in the region. By balancing the need to provide support and protection to those in need with efforts to prevent abuse of the system, Poland has demonstrated a commitment to upholding its obligations as a responsible member of the international community.

On 14<sup>th</sup> March 2022 German federal ministry responsible for domestic affairs, public security, migration, and integration addressed the letter to the responsible ministries and senate administrations of the German states in regards with implementation of a decision by the European Council to provide the temporary protection to refugees from Ukraine. The comprehensive instructions pertaining to the legalization and endowment of requisite entitlements to Ukrainian refugees in Germany are explicated in the "Information from the Bundesministerium des Innern on the implementation of § 24 AufenthG" dated 14<sup>th</sup> March 2022 [23], and the supplementary "Information" of 14<sup>th</sup> April 2022 [24]. Germany has been largely granting temporary protection to Ukrainian refugees in accordance with § 24 of the Aufenthaltsgesetz (Residence Act) and the Council of the European Union's decision to establish a mass influx situation in the sense of Article 5 of Directive 2001/55/EC and to introduce temporary protection, as a response to the refugee crisis in Ukraine. Residence Act deals with most of provisions concerning the protection of Ukrainian refugees [25]. It gives the answers for queries about humanitarian residence, work permit, travel abroad etc. While German refugee law may be perceived as strict, particularly in its restrictions on intercity mobility, it is crucial to acknowledge its precision and explicitness. In response to the significant refugee crisis beginning in 2015, Germany implemented a range of measures to manage the arrival and integration of refugees, such as the Aufenthaltsgesetz (Residence Act) and temporary protection under the EU's 2001/55/EG directive. Despite potential criticisms of the strictness of German refugee law, including the limited mobility of refugees within the country, these measures demonstrate the German government's commitment to

providing explicit and exact regulations for managing the refugee crisis, which primarily impacted Syrian, Afghan, and Iraqi refugees. It must be admitted that German response to refugee influx is more exact, united and is not subject to many modifications.

The directive was also active in Spain through sufficient legislation [26]. In situations where individuals have been displaced due to war, Spain offers temporary protection that enables them to reside, work, or pursue education within the European Union for a period of one year, with an automatic extension of an additional year after the initial period has expired. This period may be further extended for a third year without necessitating an asylum application. This measure offers a vital opportunity for individuals affected by the conflict in Ukraine to rebuild their lives and recover from the trauma associated with displacement. Compared to Germany, the implementation of temporary protection in Spain is comparatively broader and more lenient, permitting greater freedom of movement within cities and EU borders. However, a significant issue with Spain's temporary protection program is the comparatively lower level of social security benefits and financial aid offered to beneficiaries, as opposed to the German system, which includes a monthly allowance grant to provide additional support.

The Ukrainian refugees in the US may not qualify as refugees under US law or the 1951 Refugee Convention, as both definitions narrowly define refugees as those who fear being persecuted if returned, rather than people fleeing war. Even though President Biden has announced the resettlement of 100,000 Ukrainian refugees, the US refugee law requires individual refugee status determination interviews based on the outdated and overly narrow refugee definition in US law [27].

### ***The problems concerning refugee law***

The extent to which the rights and protections afforded to Ukrainian refugees under international law is broader and Ukrainians are granted basic human rights, social security and right to work in countries-members of European Union and other who propose equivalent schemes. However, my legal analysis highlights the issues that most refugees face. First, this is a lack of clarity of refugee definition under Convention. Refugees are individuals who have been forced to flee their homes due to persecution, war, or violence. However, the definition of a refugee in international law is narrow and has several limitations, which can result in individuals who are displaced due to various reasons not being considered refugees and not having access to the same legal protections and assistance. The definition of a refugee in U.S. law closely follows the international definition outlined by the Refugee Convention, and U.S. court

cases have often denied asylum to those from Central America and other places fleeing life-threatening conflicts, determining that generalized violence is not a valid reason for asylum in the U.S.

In 1967, the Organization of African Unity (OAU) took a crucial step towards acknowledging the shortcomings of the current definition of a refugee outlined by the 1951 Refugee Convention. The OAU expanded the definition in Africa to include individuals who were fleeing "external aggression, occupation, foreign domination, or events seriously disturbing public order". This broader definition allowed for a more comprehensive recognition of the diverse reasons that lead individuals to flee their homes, including those who may not meet the strict criteria outlined in the 1951 Convention.

Similarly, in 1984, Latin American countries also expanded their definition of a refugee through the Cartagena Declaration. This declaration recognized individuals who were fleeing "generalized violence, foreign aggression, internal conflicts, massive violation of human rights or other circumstances which have seriously disturbed public order" as refugees. This broader definition allows for a more inclusive recognition of the diverse reasons that lead individuals to flee their homes and seek refuge.

These expanded definitions have been crucial in providing legal protections to individuals who may not have otherwise qualified as refugees under the narrower definition outlined in the 1951 Convention. They also reflect the growing recognition among nations that the reasons individuals flee their homes are complex and varied, and that legal frameworks for providing protection must be flexible and responsive to the changing nature of forced displacement.

Overall, the expansion of the definition of a refugee in Africa and Latin America has helped to create a more comprehensive and inclusive approach to providing protection to those in need. By recognizing the diverse reasons that lead individuals to flee their homes, these expanded definitions have played a crucial role in shaping the international legal framework for addressing forced displacement and providing support to those who have been forced to seek refuge. The current definition has been widely criticized for being out of date and not adequately addressing the complexities of modern refugee crises. Changing the refugee definition to better reflect the current reality of displacement could solve many problems faced by refugees and host countries alike. The contribution Cartagena Declaration has made would be a solution to ambiguity of the status of Palestinian, Syrian, and Ukrainian refugees under international law.

Another issue is the lack of uniformity in the application of the refugee definition across countries, which can lead to inconsistencies in the recognition and

protection of refugees. Some countries may have more restrictive asylum laws than others, which can make it more difficult for refugees to be recognized and receive protection.

Another issue is the lack of uniformity in the application of the refugee definition across countries, which can lead to inconsistencies in the recognition and protection of refugees. Some countries may have more restrictive asylum laws than others, which can make it more difficult for refugees to be recognized and receive protection.

The Temporary Protection Directive (TPD), which was previously considered ineffective, has proven to be a useful tool in avoiding overburdening and congestion of asylum systems and reception services. It suggests that utilizing the TPD more frequently during sudden spikes in refugee flows could be a viable option for managing these situations.

Overall, the temporary protection measures put in place by European nations in response to the refugee crisis in Ukraine highlight the significance of legal frameworks that take into account the complicated requirements of displaced people. Although every state has made steps to grant refugees temporary protection, there are obvious variances in the level of legal assistance provided. Recent legislative initiatives in Poland to assist Ukrainian refugees underscore the need for immediate action and have led to the creation of complicated legal framework that grants temporary protection status and access to a range of rights and entitlements. On the other hand, despite the fact that Germany has provided temporary protection to Ukrainian refugees through clear-cut legal requirements, its refugee law may be seen as tighter. Comparatively speaking, Spain provides temporary protection that is both broader and more flexible in terms of freedom of movement, but the amount of social security benefits and financial aid offered is comparatively lower. Generally, each nation must exert considerable effort to respond effectively to refugee crises, and ongoing evaluation and improvement of temporary protection laws are required to guarantee that the rights and requirements of refugees are met in full.

The Temporary Protection Directive (TPD) was introduced by the European Union (EU) in response to the refugee influx that began in 2015. While there have been some implementation challenges in integrating the TPD into domestic legal systems, the unity displayed by the EU in activating this directive serves as an example of how to respond to such crises.

One of the key benefits of the TPD is that each member state has activated its own procedures for granting refugees access to education, work, and social and medical care. This approach helps to prevent illegal migration, labour

exploitation, and criminal activity. By providing refugees with legal status and access to basic services, the EU can better manage the flow of people entering its borders.

Moreover, the cooperation of neighbouring countries is crucial in creating a united response to the refugee crisis. Ignoring the issue is not a solution, as the influx of refugees will not stop unless action is taken. When neighbouring countries work together to address this crisis, they can create a more comprehensive solution and reduce the burden on individual member states.

To prevent future humanitarian emergencies, it is essential for international organizations and states to work together to improve international refugee law. The current system is in need of updating, and a clear system of refugee protection algorithms needs to be established. This will enable refugees to access their rights and provide a framework for governments and organizations to respond effectively to refugee crises.

There are a few challenges to implementing the TPD. One issue is that some member states are reluctant to welcome refugees due to domestic political pressures. This can lead to inconsistent implementation of the directive, which can undermine its effectiveness. Another challenge is that some countries have more resources and capacity than others, which can create an uneven distribution of responsibilities.

Despite these challenges, the TPD remains an important instrument in managing the refugee crisis. It provides a framework for responding to refugee crises and ensures that refugees are granted legal status and access to basic services. The closer cooperation of neighbouring countries is also essential in creating a united response to the crisis. By working together and updating international refugee law, we can prevent future humanitarian emergencies and provide much-needed protection for those fleeing conflict and persecution.

In conclusion, the TPD provides a blueprint for how to respond to the refugee crisis in a coordinated and effective manner. While there are challenges to implementation, the EU's unity in activating this directive demonstrates the importance of working together to address this issue. Moving forward, it is critical for international organizations and states to continue to improve international refugee law and establish clear systems for refugee protection. By doing so, we can provide essential protection and support for those who need it most.

## **Conclusions**

The global refugee crisis continues to pose significant challenges for the protection of refugees, and the ongoing armed conflicts in many parts of the

world exacerbate these challenges. In this context, it is crucial for legal scholars, law students, international organizations, policymakers, and politicians to examine and address the complexities and challenges in the legal framework for protecting refugees. This study aimed to contribute to this ongoing discussion by examining the legal framework for the protection of Ukrainian refugees, identifying potential areas for improvement, and providing recommendations for addressing any shortcomings.

The study utilized a comparative analysis of the international legal frameworks applicable to Ukrainian, Palestinian, and Syrian refugees to identify any discrepancies or inconsistencies in the international legal protection of Ukrainian refugees in comparison to other groups. The analysis revealed that while Ukrainian refugees are afforded some protections under international law, there are significant gaps and shortcomings in the legal framework that must be addressed.

One key area in need of improvement is the lack of effective implementation of relevant legal instruments. The study found that while there are several international legal instruments that protect the rights of refugees, including the 1951 Refugee Convention and its 1967 Protocol, the 1950 European Convention on Human Rights, and the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, the implementation of these instruments remains inadequate. There is a need for more effective monitoring and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that states are fulfilling their obligations under international law.

Another area in need of improvement is the alignment of rights and protections afforded to Ukrainian refugees under international law. The study found that while Ukrainian refugees are entitled to certain rights and protections, such as the right to non-refoulement and the right to seek asylum, there are significant gaps in their protection compared to other groups, such as Syrian refugees. For example, Ukrainian refugees are not eligible for resettlement under the UNHCR resettlement program, whereas Syrian refugees are eligible. This highlights the need for a more comprehensive and consistent approach to the protection of refugees under international law.

Despite these challenges, the study also identified some positive developments in the legal framework for protecting refugees. The Temporary Protection Directive (TPD) has proven to be a useful tool in avoiding overburdening and congestion of asylum systems and reception services, suggesting that utilizing the TPD more frequently during sudden spikes in refugee flows could be a viable option for managing these situations. Additionally, the study found that there is a growing recognition of the importance of local integration and self-reliance for refugees,



which could help to provide sustainable solutions for refugees and reduce their reliance on external assistance.

In light of these findings, the study provides several recommendations for improving the legal framework for protecting refugees, particularly Ukrainian refugees. First, there is a need for more effective implementation of relevant legal instruments, including the Refugee Convention and the European Convention on Human Rights. This could be achieved through more robust monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, as well as greater accountability for states that fail to meet their obligations under international law.

Second, there is a need for a more comprehensive and consistent approach to the protection of refugees under international law. This could include greater alignment of rights and protections afforded to refugees, as well as more effective coordination between international organizations, NGOs, and national governments to ensure that refugees receive the support they need.

Third, there is a need for greater emphasis on local integration and self-reliance for refugees.

This could involve providing more support for local communities that host refugees, as well as providing refugees with access to education, healthcare, and other essential services that can help them to rebuild their lives in their new communities.

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the legal framework for the protection of Ukrainian refugees and identifies potential areas for improvement. By addressing the gaps and shortcomings in the legal framework, and implementing the recommendations provided, we can help to ensure that refugees are able to access the legal protections.

## **Recommendations**

The ongoing global refugee crisis and armed conflicts continue to pose significant challenges to the legal framework for protecting refugees. As individuals who are concerned with the wellbeing and rights of refugees, it is recommended that legal scholars, law students, international organizations, policymakers, and politicians explore and address the complexities and challenges in this legal framework to improve the protection and rights of refugees.

To this end, it is recommended that legal scholars and law students continue to conduct research on the evolving legal landscape surrounding refugees, particularly as it relates to changes in patterns of forced displacement, new forms of persecution, and shifting geopolitical dynamics. Additionally, it is

recommended that legal experts continue to provide legal analysis and advice to policymakers, international organizations, and NGOs to ensure that the legal framework for protecting refugees is adequately resourced, enforced, and responsive to the needs of refugees themselves.

International organizations, policymakers, and politicians also have a crucial role to play in improving the protection and rights of refugees. It is recommended that they prioritize the resourcing of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and other humanitarian organizations, which play a critical role in supporting states in fulfilling their obligations under international law. Policymakers and politicians should also work towards strengthening and enforcing national laws, policies, and practices that protect the rights of refugees.

Finally, it is recommended that all stakeholders prioritize addressing the root causes of forced displacement, such as conflict, persecution, and poverty. This requires addressing the underlying political, economic, and social factors that drive forced displacement, as well as providing effective humanitarian assistance and protection to those who are displaced. Collaboration and engagement with local communities, civil society organizations, and refugees themselves is crucial to better understand and respond to the complex challenges faced by refugees.

In conclusion, the legal framework for protecting refugees is a complex and dynamic system that requires ongoing attention, reform, and prioritization. It is recommended that all stakeholders work collaboratively and proactively towards ensuring that refugees are able to access the legal protections and rights to which they are entitled under international law. By doing so, we can help to ensure that the global refugee crisis is effectively addressed and that the human rights of refugees are protected and upheld.

## References

- [1] UNHCR (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees). (2022). Ukraine situation. Retrieved from <https://reporting.unhcr.org/ukraine-supplementary-appeal-2022-addendumfrom>.
- [2] Hathaway, J.C. (1991). *The Law of Refugee Status*. Toronto: Butterworths.
- [3] Goodwin-Gill, G.S. (1996). *The refugee in international law* (3rd ed., 2007). Oxford University Press.
- [4] Fiddian-Qasmiyeh, E., Loescher, G., Long, K., & Sigona, N. (Eds.). (2018). *The Oxford Handbook of Refugee and Forced Migration Studies*. Oxford University Press.
- [5] Takkenberg, L. (1998). *The Status of Palestinian Refugees in International Law*. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.
- [6] Radley, K.R. (1978). The Palestinian Refugees: The Right to Return in International Law. *The American Journal of International Law*, 72(3), 586-614.
- [7] Erakat, N. (2021). *Justice for Some: Law and the Question of Palestine*. Stanford University Press.

- [8] Koroutchev, R. (2016). The Syrian refugee crisis in Europe: Policies and challenges. *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs*, 1(Supp. 1), 26-37.
- [9] Tsourapas, G. (2019). The Syrian refugee crisis and foreign policy decision-making in Jordan, Lebanon, and Turkey. *Journal of Global Security Studies*, 4(4), 464-481. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jogss/ogz016>.
- [10] Nassar, J., & Stel, N. (2019). Lebanon's response to the Syrian Refugee crisis—Institutional ambiguity as a governance strategy. *Political Geography*, 70, 44-54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2019.01.005>.
- [11] Abbas, M.S. (2019). Conflating the Muslim refugee and the terror suspect: Responses to the Syrian refugee "crisis" in Brexit Britain. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 42(14), 2450-2469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2019.1588339>.
- [12] Freier, L. F., Berganza, I., & Blouin, C. (2022). The Cartagena refugee definition and Venezuelan displacement in Latin America. *International Migration*, 60(1), 18-36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imig.12791>.
- [13] Jackson Sow, M. (2022). Ukrainian Refugees, Race, and International Law's Choice Between Order and Justice. *American Journal of International Law*, 116(4), 698-709. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ajil.2022.56>.
- [14] Parusel, B., & Varfolomeieva, V. (2022). *The Ukrainian Refugee Situation: Lessons for EU Asylum Policy*. SIEPS European Policy Analysis.
- [15] United Nations. (1951). Convention relating to the status of refugees. Retrieved from <https://www.unhcr.org/3b66c2aa10>.
- [16] United Nations General Assembly. (1967). Protocol relating to the status of refugees. *United Nations, Treaty Series*, 606, 267. Retrieved from <https://www.refworld.org/docid/3be01b964.html>.
- [17] United Nations. (2022). Humanitarian consequences of the aggression against Ukraine. A/RES/ES-11/2.
- [18] United Nations. (2022). Situation of human rights in Ukraine stemming from the Russian aggression. A/HRC/RES/49/1.
- [19] Temporary Protection Directive. (2001/55/EC).
- [20] Dz.U. 2022 poz. 583. (2022). Ustawa z dnia 12 marca 2022 r. o pomocy obywatelom Ukrainy w związku z konfliktem zbrojnym na terytorium tego państwa.
- [21] Dz.U. 1997 poz.152 (1996). Ustawa z dnia 5 grudnia 1996 r. o zawodach lekarza i lekarza dentystry.
- [22] Dz. U. 2023 poz. 185 (2023). Ustawa z dnia 13 stycznia 2023 r. o zmianie ustawy o pomocy obywatelom Ukrainy w związku z konfliktem zbrojnym na terytorium tego państwa oraz niektórych innych ustaw.
- [23] Bundesministerium des Innern und für Heimat. (2022, March 14). An die für das Aufenthaltsrecht zuständigen Ministerien und Senatsverwaltungen der Länder: Umsetzung des Durchführungsbeschlusses des Rates zur Feststellung des Bestehens eines Massenzustroms im Sinne des Artikels 5 der Richtlinie 2001/55/EG und zur Einführung eines vorübergehenden Schutzes.
- [24] Bundesministerium des Innern und für Heimat. (2022, April). Umsetzung des Durchführungsbeschlusses des Rates zur Feststellung des Bestehens eines Massenzustroms im Sinne des Artikels 5 der Richtlinie 2001/55/EG und zur Einführung eines vorübergehenden Schutzes.

- [25] Residence Act in the version promulgated on 25 February 2008 (Federal Law Gazette I p. 162), most recently amended by Article 4b of the Act of 17 February 2020 (Federal Law Gazette I p. 166).
- [26] Ministerio de la Presidencia, Relaciones con las Cortes y Memoria Democrática. (2022, 10 de marzo). Orden PCM/169/2022, de 9 de marzo, por la que se desarrolla el procedimiento para el reconocimiento de la protecciyn temporal a personas afectadas por el conflicto en Ucrania. "BOE" núm. 59, páginas 28304-28307.
- [27] Frelick, B. (2022, March 30). Ukrainians Are Refugees, but our Laws Don't Consider Them Such. *The Hill*.

### **Ілія І. Маланчук**

Student of the International Law Faculty  
Yaroslav Mudryi National Law University  
61024, 77 Pushkinska Str., Kharkiv, Ukraine  
e-mail: i.i.malanchuk@nlu.edu.ua  
ORCID 0000-0002-8648-7301

### **Ілля Ігорьович Маланчук**

студент міжнародно-правового факультету  
Національний юридичний університет імені Ярослава Мудрого  
61024, вул. Пушкінська, 77, Харків, Україна  
e-mail: i.i.malanchuk@nlu.edu.ua  
ORCID 0000-0002-8648-7301

**Suggested Citation:** Malanchuk, I.I. (2023). Legal Framework for the Protection of Ukrainian Refugees: a Comparative Study. *Problems of Legality*, 160, 230-248. <https://doi.org/10.21564/2414-990X.160.272931>.

**Рекомендоване цитування:** Маланчук І. І. Правові рамки захисту українських біженців: порівняльне дослідження. *Проблеми законності*. 2023. Вип. 160. С. 230–248. <https://doi.org/10.21564/2414-990X.160.272931>.

Статтю подано / Submitted: 27.01.2023  
Доопрацьовано / Revised: 19.02.2023  
Схвалено до друку / Accepted: 26.02.2023  
Опубліковано / Published: 25.03.2023